## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Arnold J. Mandell, et al.	1
,	Group Art Unit: 1631
Serial Number: 10/777,829	
	Examiner: John S. Brusca
Filing Date: February 11, 2004	CONFIRMATION NO: 5723
	CONFIRMATION NO: 5723

Title: Algorithmic Design of Peptides for Binding and/or Modulation of the Functions of Receptors and/or Other Proteins

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT AND APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

UNDER 37 CFR \$1.705

Sir or Madam:

	ant(s) hereby requests(s) that the Office reconsider the Patent Term Adjustment and adjust the Paten nt determination for this application.
	This request is made AFTER the date of the Notice of Allowance;
	This request is made ON or BEFORE payment of the issue fee.
	OR
	This request is made after issuance of the patent and is accompanied by a Certificate of Correction.
	OR
$\boxtimes$	This request is made within TWO months from the date of issuance of the patent.
The sta adjustment are	atement of facts involved specifying the correct Patent Term Adjustment and the bases for the as follows:
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(1). The Office is required to mail at least one of a notification under 55 U.S.C. §132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. §151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §371 in an international application:
	The date on which the application was filed:
	The 14 month date on which the Office was to issue an Office Action or Notice of Allowance
	The actual date on which the first Office Action or Notice of Allowance was mailed

Serial No.: 10/777	,829; Filed: February 11, 2004  The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(2). The Office is required to respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. §132 or to an appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. §134 not later than <u>four months</u> after the date on which the reply was filed or appeal was taken:
	Reply
	The date of applicant's response to notice of rejection:
٠	4 months from the date of response to the notice of rejection
	The actual date on which the Office replied
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ days, when it should have credited $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ days, as illustrated above.
	Appeal
	The date on which Applicant's filed completed Appeal Brief:
	4 months from the date of the appeal brief
	The actual date on which the Examiner's Answer or Notice of Allowance was mailed
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required act on an application not later than <u>four months</u> after the date of a decision by the BPAI, or a decision by a Federal court where at least one allowable claim remains in the application:
	The date of decision allowing at least one claim by the BPAI or Federal Court
	4 months from the date of decision
	The actual date on which the Office replied
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	37 C.F.R. $\S1.702(a)(3)$ . The Office is required to issue a patent not later than <u>four months</u> after the date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. $\S151$ :
	The date applicant paid issue fee:
	4 months from the date of issue fee payment
	The actual date on which the patent issued
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit

Serial No.: 10/777,829; Filed: February 11, 2004

The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(b). The Office is required to issue a patent within three years from the actual filing date of the application:
The date on which the application was filed
3 years from the date the application was filed
The date upon which the patent issued
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(c). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance was delayed due to interference proceedings.
The date upon which the interference was declared
The date upon which the interference ended
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(d). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the application being placed under a secrecy order.
The date upon which the secrecy order was issued
The date upon which the secrecy order was removed
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(e). The Office is required to adjust the term of the original patent if the issuance was delayed to review by the BPAI or Federal court, if the decision reversed an adverse determination of patentability.
The date upon which the application was appealed to the BPAI or Federal Court
The date upon which the BPAI or Federal Court issued a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.

Serial No.: 10/777.829; Filed: February 11, 2004

37 C.F.R. §1.703. The Office is entitled to adjust the sum of the patent term adjustment for Applicant delay. However, Applicant believes that the Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment. The Office erroneously deducted 18 days for Applicant delay based on an Information Disclosure Statement that was electronically filed on April 6, 2007. The Office responded to the Information Disclosure Statement on April 23, 2007. The number of days between the period of April 6, 2007 and April 23, 2007 is 17 days, not 18 days. Applicant requests that this deduction be removed and the patent term be restored 1 day. 37 C.F.R. §1.704. The Office is entitled to reduce the period of patent term adjustment for Applicant delay: Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement dated was accompanied by a statement under 1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application less than 30 days prior to filing the information disclosure statement. Thus, applicant should be credited days which were erroneously deducted from the patent term for applicant's delay. Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the petition fee under 37 C.F.R. \$1.18(e) of \$0.00 and charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No.: 31010-701.301). Respectfully submitted, WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Registration No. 25,227 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone No.: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile No.: (650) 493-6811 Customer No.: 021971

 $\boxtimes$